

LEWIS | ROBERTSON | BURNINGHAM

# CITIES OF LEHI, HIGHLAND, ALPINE, CEDAR HILLS, AMERICAN FORK, AND DRAPER

SCHOOL DISTRICT FEASIBILITY STUDY

**JUNE 18, 2024** 

HIGHLAND CITY HALL 5400 W CIVIC CENTER DRIVE HIGHLAND, UT 84003

#### BACKGROUND

- A feasibility study was initiated based on requirement of Utah Code Section §53G-3-102(4)(a)(ii).
- The scope of the feasibility study is within the discretion of the interlocal legislative bodies.
- This analysis focuses primarily on the financial impacts of creating a new district.
- Reorganized District results assume New West District creation

#### **METHODOLOGY**

- ANALYSIS FOCUSED ON THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS:
  - Enrollment and Taxable Value Analysis
  - General Fund Financial Analysis
  - Capital Projects Fund Financial Analysis
  - Debt Service Fund Financial Analysis
  - Summary of Tax Impacts

#### **ENROLLMENT**

	AS	SD	New Central District		REORGANIZED DISTRICT		New	Reorganized
	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	DISTRICT %  OF TOTAL	DISTRICT %  OF TOTAL
2023	84,668	81,170	34,812	33,374	25,672	24,611	41.12%	30.32%
2024	84,250	83,939	34,606	34,478	24,964	24,872	41.08%	29.63%
2025	85,252	84,937	35,078	34,948	24,632	24,542	41.15%	28.89%
2026	86,323	86,005	35,579	35,448	24,311	24,221	41.22%	28.16%
2027	87,466	87,144	36,111	35,978	24,000	23,911	41.29%	27.44%
2028	88,682	88,355	36,674	36,539	23,698	23,610	41.35%	26.72%
2029	89,973	89,641	37,269	37,131	23,405	23,319	41.42%	26.01%

#### **TAXABLE VALUE**

	ASD	New Central District	REORGANIZED DISTRICT	New District % of Total	Reorganized District % of Total
2025	\$55,064,613,951	\$24,662,757,432	\$18,940,115,371	<b>46</b> %	34%
2026	\$56,816,136,543	\$25,402,640,155	\$19,034,815,948	45%	34%
2027	\$58,663,684,263	\$26,164,719,359	\$19,129,990,028	45%	33%
2028	\$60,613,793,784	\$26,949,660,940	\$19,225,639,978	45%	32%
2029	\$62,673,491,241	\$27,758,150,768	\$19,321,768,178	45%	31%
AAGR	3.29%	3.00%	0.50%		

- New Central District enrollment and taxable value growth higher than Reorganized District.
- New District has a slightly higher taxable value per student relative to ASD
- Future taxable values will be influenced by actual new growth, new commercial development and any changes to the certified tax rate system.
- Future revenue from property taxes will be affected by establishment or promotion of redevelopment areas which could reduce tax revenues to school districts for a time to promote economic growth.
- Further analysis of these issues would shed additional light on the feasibility of the New District.

- REVENUES COMPRISED OF LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDS:
  - Local: New District projected to receive slightly higher local funds per pupil.
  - State: New District projected to receive less funds due to higher local revenues and decreased revenues from State support programs.
  - Federal: Equalized per pupil.

- BASE EXPENDITURES ARE BASED ON SEVERAL KEY FACTORS:
  - Existing FY2024 ASD budget expenditures and growth rates, as well as updated revenue assumptions based on revised State worksheets; and,
  - Identifying salaries and benefits from duplicated administrative full-time equivalent employees
    - Two Allocation Scenarios: Enrollment & Facilities

#### **SCENARIO ONE EXPENDITURES:**

- Primarily driven by % of Enrollment
  - Instruction expense accounts for largest portion of General Fund expense
- Inflation and WPU Growth Multiplier
- Duplicate adminstrative expense
- Exceptions:
  - Operation & Maintenance of Plant % All Facilities
  - District Administrative Functions % of FTEs
  - School Administrative Functions % of Schools

#### **NEW DISTRICT UNDER SCENARIO 1**

	TOTAL GF REVS	GF Revs per Student	TOTAL GF EXPENSE	GF Expense per Student	Net GF	NET GF PER STUDENT
2025	\$348,225,143	\$9,927	\$355,054,804	\$10,122	(\$6,829,660)	(\$195)
2026	\$362,213,558	\$10,181	\$368,845,241	\$10,367	(\$6,631,683)	(\$186)
2027	\$377,500,735	\$10,454	\$383,184,751	\$10,611	(\$5,684,016)	(\$157)
2028	\$393,672,883	\$10,734	\$398,095,597	\$10,855	(\$4,422,714)	(\$121)
2029	\$410,811,559	\$11,023	\$413,600,958	\$11,098	(\$2,789,399)	(\$75)

- The New District is projected to have a fund deficit, with expenditures exceeding revenues.
- The deficit is projected to decrease over time due to higher local revenue generation.

#### **SCENARIO TWO EXPENDITURES:**

- Primarily driven by % of Facilities (MGT/ASD Allocation)
- Inflation + New Facilities (No WPU Growth Multiplier)
- Added O&M expense based on new elementary school
- Duplicate administrative expense

#### **NEW DISTRICT UNDER SCENARIO 2**

	TOTAL GF REVS	GF Revs per Student	TOTAL GF EXPENSE	GF Expense per Student	Net GF	NET GF PER STUDENT
2025	\$348,225,143	\$9,927	\$357,221,191	\$10,184	(\$8,996,048)	(\$256)
2026	\$362,213,558	\$10,181	\$371,481,067	\$10,441	(\$9,267,509)	(\$260)
2027	\$377,500,735	\$10,454	\$379,541,605	\$10,510	(\$2,040,871)	(\$57)
2028	\$393,672,883	\$10,734	\$387,789,982	\$10,574	\$5,882,901	\$160
2029	\$410,811,559	\$11,023	\$396,230,727	\$10,632	\$14,580,833	\$391

- The New District is projected to have a larger fund deficit <u>initially</u>, with expenditures exceeding revenues.
- The deficit is projected to decrease <u>sooner</u>.

#### CAPITAL PROJECTS

#### •CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND REVENUES:

- Primary Funding Source is Local Property Tax
- The data indicates ASD and the New District may be eligible for state support within the Enrollment programs
- ASD, New District, and Reorganized District will not be eligible for Foundation programs

#### CAPITAL PROJECTS

- CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND EXPENDITURES:
  - Analysis assumes Capital Projects Fund will have a positive balance, with revenues exceeding expenditures.
  - No tax increase for Central District
  - Allocated 100 percent of the proposed bond recommendations to the Debt Service Fund

### Outstanding Debt

- Series 2014
- Series 2017 Refunding
- Series 2017A
- Series 2017B
- Series 2019
- Series 2019B
- Series 2021
- Series 2021B
- Series 2022
- Assumes 45% allocated to New District

#### **START-UP COSTS**

Legal fees, moving costs, unemployment insurance, etc.*	\$3,932,299
Computer / Network System*	\$3,758,934
Total New District Start-up Costs	\$7,691,233
41% Unassigned Fund Balance (Enrollment)	\$5,134,421
Total Start Up Bonding Needs	\$2,556,812

<sup>\*</sup>Inflationary estimates from previous feasibility studies

#### PROPOSED NEW BONDING NEEDS (BASED ON ASD)

	ASD	NEW CENTRAL DISTRICT	REORGANIZED DISTRICT
Start Up Funds	\$O	\$2,556,812	\$0
High School Buildings	\$155,000,000	\$0	\$0
Middle School Buildings	\$0	\$0	\$0
Elementary Buildings	\$140,000,000	\$35,000,000	\$0
Renovation and Remodel	\$200,000,000	\$75,000,000	\$125,000,000
Land	\$9,000,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
Additional Projects	\$8,000,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
Total	\$512,000,000	\$112,556,812	\$125,000,000

\$112M Bond

Longer Term Structure (20 Years)

#### SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPACTS

- General Fund will likely result in a deficit but may overcome the deficient within/beyond the study period
- Capital Outlay surplus possible
- Debt Service reduction due to less of a need for new bonding

#### SUMMARY OF TAX IMPACTS

# CENTRAL DISTRICT TAX IMPACT RELATIVE TO ASD (GENERAL FUND EXPENSE SCENARIO 1)

	Tax Rate Needed	Tax Per Household (\$500,000 Residential) Annually	Tax Per Household (\$500,000 Residential) Monthly
2025	(0.000385)	(\$105.88)	(\$8.82)
2026	(0.000359)	(\$98.73)	(\$8.23)
2027	(0.000330)	(\$90.75)	(\$7.56)
2028	(0.000299)	(\$82.23)	(\$6.85)
2029	(0.000268)	(\$73.70)	(\$6.14)

Property owners will likely experience tax savings as compared to ASD

However, tax impact per household slightly increases annually

#### SUMMARY OF TAX IMPACTS

# CENTRAL DISTRICT TAX IMPACT RELATIVE TO ASD (GENERAL FUND EXPENSE SCENARIO 2)

	Tax Rate Needed	Tax Per Household (\$500,000 Residential) Annually	Tax Per Household (\$500,000 Residential) Monthly
2025	(0.000069)	(\$18.98)	(\$1.58)
2026	(0.000185)	(\$50.88)	(\$4.24)
2027	(0.000602)	(\$165.55)	(\$13.80)
2028	(0.000719)	(\$197.73)	(\$16.48)
2029	(0.000701)	(\$192.78)	(\$16.06)

Property owners will likely experience tax savings as compared to ASD

# **QUESTIONS**

FRED PHILPOT | VICE PRESIDENT/COO FRED@LRBFINANCE.COM

O 801.596.0700 | C 801.243.0293

Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham is now LRB Public Finance Advisors

